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For this session, one simple question was posed to fourth-grade students: “What does a composer look like?” In planning this session a colleague and I predicted that the children would picture white men in wigs from long ago, composing at a piano with a plume and parchment. Although this was true for some children, we were pleasantly surprised to hear other children describe composers as both men and women, and people of any color working with a computer and a turntable. To reinforce this point, we shared a number of videos highlighting a diverse cross-section of composers from around the world. You may access this video at: https://youtu.be/cmnDIDaZ1NY

As we prepared for this unit, we made a conscious effort to maintain a lens of Culturally Responsive Education (CRE). In a CRE-focused class, teachers look at students and learning through six themes: asset-focused factors, relationships, rigor, engagement, cultural identity, and vulnerability.¹ In an effort to engage the children through their cultural identity, we began by taking a survey of their cultural backgrounds and the languages they speak in their homes. We then adapted each of those languages to the school’s PBIS acronym S.O.A.R. (Safety, Ownership, Achievement, Respect).

In addition to cultural identity, we explored on the role of vulnerability during our CRE-focused instruction. Initially, this focused on learning a melody that I had created. Through this activity, students also had the opportunity to build relationships with me—a visitor to the class who did not have the history and familiarity with the children that the regular music teacher had established. Later, this melody and the melody of “Fire on the Mountain” were used to illustrate the qualities of an effective melody—steps vs. leaps, frequent use of patterns, highlighting the tonic and fifth, ending on the tonic, etc.

Over the next 12 lessons, students worked on their melodies. Their first task was to choose whether their melody would be Do or La based. They were then given time to develop their ideas.
individually using an instrument. Many of the students were surprised by how difficult it was to find a melody that they liked and that creating a melody that pleased them took multiple edits and revisions!

At the beginning of each class, students were given indications as to where they should be in the composition process as well as goals for the end of each period. At multiple points, students were asked to reflect on various aspects of the process. There were four prompts with varying methods of data collection:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflection</th>
<th>Prompt</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reflection 1</td>
<td>• How has your image of a composer changed compared to the first class?</td>
<td>Hand written reflection with option of drawing a picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection 2</td>
<td>• What are you most excited about in this project? What are you worried about?</td>
<td>Flipgrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection 3</td>
<td>• Which aspect of this project is the most interesting to you?</td>
<td>Stickly notes on a chart paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection 4</td>
<td>• What was your favorite part of this project?</td>
<td>Flipgrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What was the most challenging part?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Do you see yourself as a composer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the information gathered through student reflections, in-class conversations with students, and anecdotal observations all served as formative assessments of the student’s successes, struggles, and needs for future lessons. This information informed planning sessions prior to the next class as we determined which students needed additional challenges (rigor), which needed individualized attention (engagement and asset-focused factors), and which students simply needed more time to cultivate or revise their ideas.

Once students were able to play their own melody, they were partnered with another student and given the opportunity to create a drone accompaniment that supported their melody. Those
students who were able to meet this goal were further challenged to add one of the language ostinati to their piece.

At the beginning of this unit, we hoped to challenge the image we assumed many students held about what a composer looks like. Over the course of 12 classes we further sought to bring that image of a composer down to a more personal level. Through opportunities to interact with a composer (me), attempts to engage each student’s cultural identity, and time devoted to allowing the students to explore their own identities as musicians, most of our students did, in fact, identify themselves as a composer in their final reflections. For those who didn’t, the most common factor that prevented them from seeing themselves as composers was an inability to play their own melody in front of their peers – an interesting idea, given the fact that many composers may never hear their works performed!
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